arXiv:0711.2211v1 [math.DG] 14 Nov 2007

SYMPLECTIC CRITICAL SURFACES IN KÄHLER SURFACES

XIAOLI HAN, JIAYU LI

Abstract. Let M be a Kähler surface and Σ be a closed symplectic surface which is smoothly immersed in M. Let α be the Kähler angle of Σ in M. We first deduce the Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional $L = \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{\cos\alpha} d\mu$ in the class of symplectic surfaces. It is $\cos^3\alpha H = (J(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top)^\perp$, where H is the mean curvature vector of Σ in M, J is the complex structure compatible with the Kähler form ω in M, which is an elliptic equation. We then study the properties of the equation.

1. Introduction

Suppose that M is a Kähler surface. Let ω be the Kähler form on M and let J be a complex structure compatible with ω. The Riemannian metric $\langle , \rangle$ on M is defined by

$$\langle U, V\rangle = \omega(U, JV).$$

For a compact oriented real surface Σ which is smoothly immersed in M, one defines, following [4], the Kähler angle α of Σ in M by

$$\omega|_\Sigma = \cos\alpha d\mu_\Sigma \tag{1.1}$$

where $d\mu_\Sigma$ is the area element of Σ of the induced metric from $\langle , \rangle$. We say that Σ is a holomorphic curve if $\cos\alpha \equiv 1$, Σ is a Lagrangian surface if $\cos\alpha \equiv 0$ and Σ is a symplectic surface if $\cos\alpha > 0$.

It was conjectured by Tian [6] that every embedded orientable closed symplectic surface in a compact Kähler-Einstein surface is isotopic to a symplectic minimal surface in a suitable sense.

If the Kähler-Einstein surface is of nonnegative scalar curvature, a symplectic minimal surface is holomorphic. However, if the scalar curvature is negative, there are symplectic minimal surfaces which are not holomorphic ([1]). A symplectic minimal surface is a critical point of the area of surfaces, which is symplectic. It may be more natural to consider directly the critical point of the functional

$$L = \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{\cos\alpha} d\mu_\Sigma,$$

in the class of symplectic surfaces in a Kähler surface. It is clear that holomorphic curves minimize the functional. The critical point of the functional is called **a symplectic critical surface**.

In the paper, we first calculate the Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional L.

Key words and phrases. Symplectic surface, Holomorphic curve, Kähler surface.

The research was partially supported by NSFC .

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a Kähler surface. The Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional L is*

$$\cos^3\alpha H - (J(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top)^\perp = 0,$$

where H is the mean curvature vector of Σ in M, and $()^\top$ means tangential components of $()$, $()^\perp$ means the normal components of $()$. We call the critical point **a symplectic critical surface**.

We checked that it is an elliptic equation. We derive an equation for the Kähler angle of a symplectic critical surface in a Kähler-Einstein surface.

Theorem 1.2. *If M is a Kähler-Einstein surface and Σ is a symplectic critical surface, then we have*

$$\Delta\cos\alpha \;=\; \frac{3\sin^2\alpha - 2}{\cos\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2 - R\cos^3\alpha\sin^2\alpha,$$

where R is the scalar curvature of M.

As a corollary, we see that, if the scalar curvature R of the Kähler-Einstein surface M is nonnegative, then a symplectic critical surface in M is holomorphic.

It is not difficult to see that, a non holomorphic symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface has at most finite complex points. Moreover, we can show that,

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that Σ is a non holomorphic symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface M. Then*

$$\chi(\Sigma) + \chi(\nu) = -P - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma \frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^2\alpha}d\mu,$$

and

$$c_1(M)([\Sigma]) = -P - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma \frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^3\alpha}d\mu,$$

where $\chi(\Sigma)$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ, $\chi(\nu)$ is the Euler characteristic of the normal bundle of Σ in M, $c_1(M)$ is the first Chern class of M, $[\Sigma] \in H_2(M, \mathbf{Z})$ is the homology class of Σ in M, and P is the number of complex tangent points.

From Theorem 1.3, we derive some global topology properties of a symplectic critical surface.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that Σ is a symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface M. Then*

$$\chi(\Sigma) + \chi(\nu) \geq c_1(M)([\Sigma]),$$

the equality holds if and only if Σ is a holomorphic curve.

Let g be the genus of Σ, I_Σ the self-intersection number of Σ, D_Σ the number of double points of Σ. Then

$$\chi(\Sigma) = 2 - 2g,$$
$$\chi(\nu) = I_\Sigma - 2D_\Sigma.$$

Set

$$C_1(\Sigma) = C_1(M)([\Sigma]),$$

we have

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose that* Σ *is a symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface* M*. Then*

$$2-2g-c_1(\Sigma)+I_\Sigma-2D_\Sigma=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma\frac{(1-\cos\alpha)|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^3\alpha}d\mu.$$

In forthcoming papers, we will use variational approach and flow method to study the existence of a symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface.

It is natural to conjecture that, *in each homotopy class of a symplectic surface in a Kähler surface, there is a symplectic critical surface.*

As a start point of studying the gradient flow of the function L, we derive the evolution equation of $\cos\alpha$ along the flow, which implies that the symplectic property is preserved.

2. The Euler-Lagrange equation

Let $\{\phi_t\}_{0\leq t\leq 1}$ be a 1-parameter family of immersions $\Sigma\to M$ such that $\phi_0=F$ and $\Sigma_t=\phi_t(\Sigma)$ are symplectic. Also, let X denote the initial velocity vector for ϕ_t, i.e, $X=\frac{\partial\phi_t}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}$. We denote by $\bar\nabla$ the covariant derivative and by K the Riemannian curvature tensor on M. Furthermore, ∇, R denote the covariant derivative and the Riemannian curvature tensor of the induced metric g on the surface Σ_0.

We start from computing the first variation of the area for this one-parameter family of surfaces, which is in fact well-known.

Proposition 2.1. *The variation of the area of* Σ_t *is*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}d\mu_t|_{t=0}=(divX^\top-X\cdot H)d\mu_t$$

Proof. The proof is routine (c.f. [5]). Fix one point $p\in\Sigma$. Let $\{x^i\}$ be a normal coordinate system for Σ at p. Around p we choose the local orthonormal frame $\{\tilde e_1,\tilde e_2,\tilde v_3,\tilde v_4\}$ on M along Σ_t such that $\{\tilde e_1=\partial\phi_t/\partial x^i,\tilde e_2=\partial\phi_t/\partial x^2\}$, $\{\tilde v_3,\tilde v_4\}$ are in the tangent bundle and in the normal bundle of Σ_t respectively. For simplicity, we denote $\tilde e_i(0)=\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}$ by e_i and identify it with $\partial_i=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $i=1,2$. We also denote $\tilde v_\alpha(0)$ by v_α, $\alpha=3,4$. Furthermore, we assume that $\nabla_{e_i}e_j=0$ at p. Suppose that, in this frame X takes the form $X=X^ie_i+X^\alpha v_\alpha$ and $(g_t)_{ij}=\langle\frac{\partial\phi_t}{\partial x^i},\frac{\partial\phi_t}{\partial x^j}\rangle$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t}|_{t=0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{t=0}\langle\partial\phi_t/\partial x^i,\partial\phi_t/\partial x^j\rangle=\langle\bar\nabla_{e_i}X,e_j\rangle+\langle e_i,\bar\nabla_{e_j}X\rangle\\ &= \langle\bar\nabla_{e_i}(X^ke_k+X^\alpha v_\alpha),e_j\rangle+\langle e_i,\bar\nabla_{e_j}(X^ke_k+X^\alpha v_\alpha)\rangle\\ &= X_{j,i}-X_\alpha h^\alpha_{ij}+X_{i,j}-X_\alpha h^\alpha_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to get that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}d\mu_t|_{t=0} &= \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}(X_{j,i}-X_\alpha h^\alpha_{ij}+X_{i,j}-X_\alpha h^\alpha_{ij})d\mu_t\\ &= (divX^\top-X\cdot H)d\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a Kähler surface. The first variational formula of the functional L is, for any smooth vector field X on Σ,*

$$\delta_X L = -2\int_\Sigma \frac{X\cdot H}{\cos\alpha}d\mu + 2\int_\Sigma \frac{X\cdot(J(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top))^\perp}{\cos^4\alpha}d\mu, \tag{2.1}$$

where H is the mean curvature vector of Σ in M, and $()^\top$ means tangential components of $()$, $()^\perp$ means the normal components of $()$. The Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional L is

$$\cos^3\alpha H - (J(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top)^\perp = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. We use the frame that we choose in Proposition 2.1. From the definition of Kähler angle (1.1) we have

$$\cos\alpha_t = \frac{\omega(\partial\phi_t/\partial x^1, \partial\phi_t/\partial x^2)}{\sqrt{\det(g_t)}},$$

where $\det(g_t)$ is the determinant of the metric (g_t). So, the functional can be written as

$$L_t = L(\phi_t) = \int_\Sigma \frac{\det(g_t)}{\omega(\partial\phi_t/\partial x^1, \partial\phi_t/\partial x^2)}dx^1\wedge dx^2.$$

Thus using Proposition 2.1 we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}L_t &= \int_\Sigma(\frac{\partial_t g_{ij}|_{t=0}g^{ij}}{\omega(e_1,e_2)} - \frac{\partial_t\omega(\partial\phi_t/\partial x^1, \partial\phi_t/\partial x^2)|_{t=0}}{\omega^2(e_1,e_2)})\det(g)dx^1\wedge dx^2 \\
&= \int_\Sigma(\frac{2divX^T - 2X\cdot H}{\cos\alpha})\sqrt{\det(g)}dx^1\wedge dx^2 \\
&\quad -\int_\Sigma(\frac{\omega(\bar\nabla_{e_1}X, e_2) + \omega(e_1, \bar\nabla_{e_2}X)}{\cos^2\alpha})dx^1\wedge dx^2 \\
&= I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

Since Σ is closed, applying the Stokes formula, we obtain

$$I = \int_\Sigma(\frac{2\langle X, e_1\rangle\nabla_{e_1}\cos\alpha + 2\langle X, e_2\rangle\nabla_{e_2}\cos\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha} - \frac{2X\cdot H}{\cos\alpha})\sqrt{\det(g)}dx^1\wedge dx^2.$$

The second term is

$$\begin{aligned}
II &= -\int_\Sigma \frac{\nabla e_1(\omega(X, e_2)) - \omega(X, \bar\nabla_{e_1}\bar\nabla_{e_2}F)}{\cos^2\alpha}dx^1\wedge dx^2 \\
&\quad -\int_\Sigma \frac{\nabla_{e_2}(\omega(e_1, X)) - \omega(\bar\nabla_{e_2}\bar\nabla_{e_1}F, X)}{\cos^2\alpha}dx^1\wedge dx^2 \\
&= -\int_\Sigma \frac{\nabla_{e_1}(\omega(X, e_2)) + \nabla_{e_2}(\omega(e_1, X))}{\cos^2\alpha}dx^1\wedge dx^2 \\
&= -2\int_\Sigma \frac{\omega(X, e_2)\nabla_{e_1}\cos\alpha + \omega(e_1, X)\nabla_{e_2}\cos\alpha}{\cos^3\alpha}dx^1\wedge dx^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that ω is parallel. In the following, we compute pointwisely so we assume the frame is orthonormal. Note that,

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(X^\top, e_2) &= -\langle X^\top, Je_2\rangle\\ &= -\langle X, e_1\rangle\langle e_1, Je_2\rangle\\ &= \langle X, e_1\rangle\cos\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(e_1, X^\top) &= \langle X^\top, Je_1\rangle\\ &= \langle X, e_2\rangle\langle e_2, Je_1\rangle\\ &= \langle X, e_2\rangle\cos\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

we separate the second term into two parts,

$$\begin{aligned}II &= -2\int_\Sigma \frac{\langle X, e_1\rangle\nabla_{e_1}\cos\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha}+\frac{\langle X, e_2\rangle\nabla_{e_2}\cos\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha}d\mu\\ &\quad -2\int_\Sigma \frac{\omega(X^\perp, e_2)\nabla_{e_1}\cos\alpha+\omega(e_1, X^\perp)\nabla_{e_2}\cos\alpha}{\cos^3\alpha}d\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}L_t &= -2\int_\Sigma \frac{X\cdot H}{\cos\alpha}d\mu-2\int_\Sigma\frac{\omega(X^\perp, e_2)\nabla_{e_1}\cos\alpha}{\cos^3\alpha}d\mu\\ &\quad -2\int_\Sigma\frac{\omega(e_1, X^\perp)\nabla_{e_2}\cos\alpha}{\cos^3\alpha}d\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned}(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top &= (Je_1\nabla_{e_1}\cos\alpha+Je_2\nabla_{e_2}\cos\alpha)^\top\\ &= \langle Je_1, e_2\rangle e_2\nabla_{e_1}\cos\alpha+\langle Je_2, e_1\rangle e_1\nabla_{e_2}\cos\alpha\\ &= (e_2\nabla_{e_1}\cos\alpha-e_1\nabla_{e_2}\cos\alpha)\cos\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}L_t &= -2\int_\Sigma \frac{X\cdot H}{\cos\alpha}d\mu\\ &\quad -2\int_\Sigma\frac{\omega(X^\perp, (J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top)}{\cos^4\alpha}d\mu\\ &= -2\int_\Sigma \frac{X\cdot H}{\cos\alpha}d\mu\\ &\quad +2\int_\Sigma\frac{X\cdot(J(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top))^\perp}{\cos^4\alpha}d\mu.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Q. E. D.

For later purpose, and to understand the equation, we express $(J(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top))^\perp$ at a fixed point p in local frame. Let $\{e_1, e_2, v_1, v_2\}$ be a orthonormal frame around

$p \in \Sigma$ such that it is normal at p and ω, J take the forms (cf. [3], [4]),

$$\omega = \cos\alpha u_1 \wedge u_2 + \cos\alpha u_3 \wedge u_4 + \sin\alpha u_1 \wedge u_3 - \sin\alpha u_2 \wedge u_4 \tag{2.3}$$

where $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ is the dual frame of $\{e_1, e_2, v_3, v_4\}$, and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cos\alpha & \sin\alpha & 0 \\ -\cos\alpha & 0 & 0 & -\sin\alpha \\ -\sin\alpha & 0 & 0 & \cos\alpha \\ 0 & \sin\alpha & -\cos\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (J(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^{\top}))^{\perp} &= (J(\cos\alpha\partial_1\cos\alpha e_2 - \cos\alpha\partial_2\cos\alpha e_1))^{\perp} \\ &= -\cos\alpha\sin\alpha\partial_1\cos\alpha v_4 - \cos\alpha\sin\alpha\partial_2\cos\alpha v_3 \\ &= \cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha\partial_1\alpha v_4 + \cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha\partial_2\alpha v_3. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1\cos\alpha &= \omega(\bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_1, e_2) + \omega(e_1, \bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_2) \\ &= h^{\alpha}_{11}\langle Jv_{\alpha}, e_2\rangle + h^{\alpha}_{12}\langle Je_1, v_{\alpha}\rangle \\ &= (h^4_{11} + h^3_{12})\sin\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get that,

$$\partial_2\cos\alpha = (h^3_{22} + h^4_{12})\sin\alpha.$$

Set $V = \partial_2\alpha v_3 + \partial_1\alpha v_4$. Then

$$V = -(h^3_{22} + h^4_{12})v_3 - (h^4_{11} + h^3_{12})v_4. \tag{2.5}$$

And consequently the Euler-Lagrange equation of the function L is

$$\cos^2\alpha H - \sin^2\alpha V = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

or equivalently,

$$H - \sin^2\alpha(H + V) = 0.$$

It is not difficult to see, roughly, the symbol of the equation is

$$\sigma := \begin{pmatrix} (1-\sin^2\alpha)\xi^2 + \eta^2 & (\sin^2\alpha)\xi\eta \\ (\sin^2\alpha)\xi\eta & \xi^2 + (1-\sin^2\alpha)\eta^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which enables one believes that the equation (2.2) is an elliptic equation.

In the following, we give a detailed proof.

Theorem 2.3. *The equation (2.2) is elliptic.*

Proof. Assume that Σ is immersed in M by F. Let $\{x, y\}$ be a coordinate system around $p \in \Sigma$. Since Σ is smooth, by implicit theorem we can write Σ as the graph of two functions f, g in a small neighborhood U of p, i.e, $F = (x, y, f(x, y), g(x, y))$ in U. Suppose that the complex structure in the neighborhood of $F(p)$ is standard, i.e,

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We choose $e_1 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = (1, 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x})$, $e_2 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = (0, 1, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y})$ and $v_3 = (-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, 1, 0)$ and $v_4 = (-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}, 0, 1)$. Then $\{e_1, e_2, v_3, v_4\}$ is a basis of M. The metric of Σ in this basis is

$$(g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x})^2 + (\frac{\partial g}{\partial x})^2 & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} & 1 + (\frac{\partial f}{\partial y})^2 + (\frac{\partial g}{\partial y})^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the inverse matrix is

$$(g^{ij}) = \frac{1}{\det(g)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + (\frac{\partial f}{\partial y})^2 + (\frac{\partial g}{\partial y})^2 & -\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \\ -\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} & 1 + (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x})^2 + (\frac{\partial g}{\partial x})^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \cos\alpha &= \frac{\omega(e_1, e_2)}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} = \frac{\langle Je_1, e_2\rangle}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} \\ &= \frac{1 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}}{\det(g)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\sin^2\alpha = \frac{\det(g) - \langle Je_1, e_2\rangle^2}{\det(g)} = \frac{(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y})^2 + (\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y})^2}{\det(g)}. \tag{2.8}$$

We express the Euler-Lagrangian equation (2.2) of L explicitly in the following. We know that,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla\cos\alpha &= (g^{11}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial x} + g^{12}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial y})e_1 + (g^{12}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial x} + g^{22}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial y})e_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(g)}(g_{22}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial x} - g_{12}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial y})e_1 + \frac{1}{\det(g)}(g_{11}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial y} - g_{12}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial x})e_2 \\ &:= Ae_1 + Be_2. \end{aligned}$$

Set $c = 1 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$, $a = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$, $b = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$. Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (J(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top)^\perp &= (J(A\frac{\langle Je_1, e_2\rangle}{|e_2|^2}e_2 + B\frac{\langle Je_2, e_1\rangle}{|e_1|^2}e_1))^\perp \\ &= (J(\frac{A\cos\alpha\sqrt{\det(g)}}{g_{22}}e_2 - \frac{B\cos\alpha\sqrt{\det g}}{g_{11}}e_1))^\perp \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{A\cos\alpha\sqrt{\det(g)}}{g_{22}}(\frac{\langle Je_2, v_3\rangle}{|v_3|^2}v_3 + \frac{\langle Je_2, v_4\rangle}{|v_4|^2}v_4) \\
&\quad -\frac{B\cos\alpha\sqrt{\det(g)}}{g_{11}}(\frac{\langle Je_1, v_3\rangle}{|v_3|^2}v_3 + \frac{\langle Je_1, v_4\rangle}{|v_4|^2}v_4) \\
&= \frac{1}{|v_3|^2}[\frac{A\cos\alpha\sqrt{\det(g)}}{g_{22}}b + \frac{B\cos\alpha\sqrt{\det(g)}}{g_{11}}a]v_3 \\
&= \frac{1}{|v_4|^2}[\frac{A\cos\alpha\sqrt{\det(g)}}{g_{22}}a - \frac{B\cos\alpha\sqrt{\det(g)}}{g_{11}}b]v_4, \\
&= \frac{\cos\alpha}{|v_3|^2\sqrt{\det(g)}}[(\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial x} - \frac{g_{12}}{g_{22}}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial y})b \\
&\quad +(\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial y} - \frac{g_{12}}{g_{11}}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial x})a]v_3 \\
&\quad +\frac{\cos\alpha}{|v_4|^2\sqrt{\det(g)}}[(\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial x} - \frac{g_{12}}{g_{22}}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial y})a \\
&\quad -(\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial y} - \frac{g_{12}}{g_{11}}\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial x})b]v_4.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos^3\alpha H &= \frac{\cos^3\alpha}{|v_3|^2}[g^{11}\langle\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}, v_3\rangle + g^{12}\langle\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x\partial y}, v_3\rangle + g^{22}\langle\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^2}, v_3]\rangle v_3 \\
&\quad +\frac{\cos^3\alpha}{|v_4|^2}[g^{11}\langle\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}, v_3\rangle + g^{12}\langle\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x\partial y}, v_3\rangle + g^{22}\langle\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^2}, v_4]\rangle v_4 \\
&= \frac{\cos^3\alpha}{|v_3|^2\det(g)}(g_{22}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - 2g_{12}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y} + g_{11}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2})v_3 \\
&\quad +\frac{\cos^3\alpha}{|v_4|^2\det(g)}(g_{22}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} - 2g_{12}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x\partial y} + g_{11}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2})v_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (2.2) can be written as a systems,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{c^2}{(\det(g))^2}(g_{22}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - 2g_{12}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y} + g_{11}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}) \\
&-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)}}[\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial x}(b - \frac{g_{12}}{g_{11}}a) + \frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial y}(a - \frac{g_{12}}{g_{22}}b)] = 0 \\
&\frac{c^2}{(\det(g))^2}(g_{22}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} - 2g_{12}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x\partial y} + g_{11}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2}) \\
&-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)}}[\frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial x}(a + \frac{g_{12}}{g_{11}}b) - \frac{\partial\cos\alpha}{\partial y}(b + \frac{g_{12}}{g_{22}}a)] = 0. \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to check that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \cos\alpha}{\partial x} &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\det(g)})^3}\Big[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}\Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\\
&\qquad +\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\Big)^2+\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\Big)^2+\Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\Big)^3-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\Big)^2\Big)\\
&+ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2}\Big(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\\
&\qquad -\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big)^2-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\Big)^2-\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\Big)^3-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\Big)^2\Big)\\
&+ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y}\Big(-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\\
&\qquad -\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big)^2-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\Big)^2-\Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\Big)^3-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\Big)^2\Big)\\
&+ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x\partial y}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\\
&\qquad +\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\Big)^2+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\Big)^2+\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big)^3-\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big)^2\Big)\Big]\\
&= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\det(g)})^3}\Big[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(g_{12}a-g_{22}b)+\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2}(-g_{22}a-g_{12}b)\\
&\qquad +\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y}(-g_{11}a+g_{12}b)+\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x\partial y}(g_{11}b+g_{12}a)\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one checks

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \cos\alpha}{\partial y} &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\det(g)})^3}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(-g_{11}a+g_{12}b)+\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2}(g_{11}b+g_{12}a)\\
&\qquad +\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y}(g_{12}a-g_{22}b)+\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x\partial y}(-g_{22}a-g_{12}b).
\end{aligned}$$

Putting these two equations into (2.9), then (2.9) can be simplified as,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(g_{22}c^2-g_{12}ab+\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{11}}a^2+g_{22}b^2-\frac{g_{22}g_{12}}{g_{11}}ab)\\
&+\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y}(-2g_{12}c^2+g_{11}ab-2g_{12}a^2-2g_{12}b^2+\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{11}}ab+\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{22}}ab+g_{22}ab)\\
&+\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(g_{11}c^2+g_{11}a^2-g_{12}ab-\frac{g_{11}g_{12}}{g_{22}}ab+\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{22}}b^2)\\
&+\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2}(g_{22}ab-\frac{g_{22}g_{12}}{g_{11}}a^2+g_{12}b^2-\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{11}}ab)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x\partial y}(-g_{11}b^2+\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{11}}a^2+g_{22}a^2-\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{22}}b^2)\\
&+\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2}(-g_{11}ab+\frac{g_{11}g_{12}}{g_{22}}b^2-g_{12}a^2+\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{22}}ab)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2}(g_{22}c^2+g_{22}a^2+\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{11}}b^2+g_{12}ab+\frac{g_{22}g_{12}}{g_{11}}ab)\\
&+\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x\partial y}(-2g_{12}c^2-g_{11}ab-2g_{12}a^2-2g_{12}b^2-\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{11}}ab-\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{22}}ab-g_{22}ab)\\
&+\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2}(g_{11}c^2+g_{11}b^2+g_{12}ab+\frac{g_{11}g_{12}}{g_{22}}ab+\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{22}}a^2)\\
&+\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(-g_{12}a^2+\frac{g_{22}g_{12}}{g_{11}}b^2+g_{22}ab-\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{11}}ab)\\
&+\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y}(g_{11}a^2-\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{11}}b^2-g_{22}b^2+\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{22}}a^2)\\
&+\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(-g_{11}ab-\frac{g_{11}g_{12}}{g_{22}}a^2+g_{12}b^2+\frac{g_{12}^2}{g_{22}}ab)=0.
\end{aligned}
$$

For simplicity, we write the systems as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{11}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}+A_{12}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y}+A_{22}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}+B_{11}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2}+B_{12}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x\partial y}+B_{22}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2}&=0,\\
C_{11}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}+C_{12}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y}+C_{22}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}+D_{11}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2}+D_{12}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x\partial y}+D_{22}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2}&=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where A_{ij}, B_{ij}, C_{ij} and D_{ij} are defined clearly $(i,j=1,2)$. So the symbol of the systems is

$$
\sigma:=\begin{pmatrix} A_{11}\xi^2+A_{12}\xi\eta+A_{22}\eta^2 & B_{11}\xi^2+B_{12}\xi\eta+B_{22}\eta^2\\ C_{11}\xi^2+C_{12}\xi\eta+C_{22}\eta^2 & D_{11}\xi^2+D_{12}\xi\eta+D_{22}\eta^2\end{pmatrix}.
$$

A simple computation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\det(\sigma)&=\frac{c^4}{g_{22}g_{11}}(g_{11}^3g_{22}\eta^4+g_{22}^3g_{11}\xi^4+2g_{11}^2g_{22}^2\xi^2\eta^2\\
&\quad+4g_{12}^2g_{11}g_{22}\xi^2\eta^2-4g_{12}g_{22}g_{11}^2\xi\eta^3-4g_{12}g_{11}g_{22}^2\xi^3\eta)\\
&\quad+\frac{c^2(a^2+b^2)}{g_{11}g_{22}}(g_{11}^3g_{22}\eta^4+g_{11}^2g_{12}^2\eta^4+g_{22}^3g_{11}\xi^4+g_{22}^2g_{12}^2\xi^4\\
&\quad+2g_{11}^2g_{22}^2\xi^2\eta^2+10g_{12}^2g_{11}g_{22}\xi^2\eta^2-6g_{12}g_{22}g_{11}^2\xi\eta^3\\
&\quad-6g_{12}g_{11}g_{22}^2\xi^3\eta-2g_{12}^3g_{11}\xi\eta^3-2g_{12}^3g_{22}\xi^3\eta)\\
&=c^4(g_{22}\xi^2+g_{11}\eta^2-2g_{12}\xi\eta)^2\\
&\quad+c^2(a^2+b^2)(g_{22}\xi^2+g_{11}\eta^2-3g_{12}\xi\eta)^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{c^2(a^2+b^2)}{g_{11}g_{22}}(g_{11}^2g_{12}^2\eta^4+g_{22}^2g_{12}^2\xi^4+g_{12}^2g_{11}g_{22}\xi^2\eta^2\\
&-2g_{12}^3g_{11}\xi\eta^3-2g_{12}^3g_{22}\xi^3\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the inequality $\det(g)=g_{11}g_{22}-g_{12}^2>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\det(\sigma) &> c^4(g_{22}\xi^2+g_{11}\eta^2-2g_{12}\xi\eta)^2\\
&\quad +c^2(a^2+b^2)(g_{22}\xi^2+g_{11}\eta^2-3g_{12}\xi\eta)^2\\
&\quad +\frac{c^2(a^2+b^2)g_{12}^2}{g_{11}g_{22}}(g_{11}\eta^2+g_{22}\xi^2-g_{12}\xi\eta)^2\\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the equation (2.2) is elliptic. This proves the theorem.

Q. E. D.

3. EQUATIONS OF THE KÄHLER ANGLE OF THE SYMPLECTIC CRITICAL SURFACES

In the sequel, we always choose the orthonormal basis $\{e_1,e_2,v_3,v_4\}$ on M along Σ such that $\{e_1,e_2\}$ are the basis of Σ and ω takes the form as (2.3), and the complex structure J on M takes the form as (2.4).

Let $T\Sigma, N\Sigma$ be the tangent bundle and the normal bundle of Σ in M respectively. The second fundamental form $A: T\Sigma\times T\Sigma\mapsto N\Sigma$ is defined by $A(X,Y)=(\bar\nabla_XY)^\perp$ for any tangent vector fields X,Y. The operator $B: T\Sigma\times N\Sigma\mapsto T\Sigma$ is defined by $B(X,N)=(\bar\nabla_XN)^\top$, $N\in N\Sigma$. Here $()^\top$ denotes the projection from TM onto $T\Sigma$ and $()^\perp$ denote the projection onto $N\Sigma$. Evidently,

$$\langle A(X,Y),N\rangle=-\langle Y,B(X,N)\rangle.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let M be a compact Kähler surface with Kähler form ω and J be the complex structure compatible with ω on M. If Σ is a closed symplectic surface which is smoothly immersed in M with the Kähler angle α, then*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\cos\alpha &= \cos\alpha(-|h_{1k}^3-h_{2k}^4|^2-|h_{1k}^4+h_{2k}^3|^2)\\
&\quad +\sin\alpha(H_{,1}^4+H_{,2}^3)-\frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos\alpha}(K_{1212}+K_{1234}). \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where K is the curvature operator of M and $H_{,i}^\alpha=\langle\bar\nabla_{e_i}^N H, v_\alpha\rangle$.

Proof. It is evident that

$$\Delta\cos\alpha = \Delta\frac{\omega(e_1,e_2)}{\sqrt{\det(g)}}=\Delta\omega(e_1,e_2)-\frac{1}{2}\cos\alpha\Delta g_{ij}g^{ij}. \qquad (3.2)$$

Using the property that $\bar\nabla\omega=0$, we obtain that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\omega(e_1,e_2) &= \bar\nabla_{e_k}\bar\nabla_{e_k}\omega(e_1,e_2)\\
&= \omega(\bar\nabla_{e_k}\bar\nabla_{e_k}e_1,e_2)-\omega(\bar\nabla_{e_k}\bar\nabla_{e_k}e_2,e_1)+2\omega(\bar\nabla_{e_k}e_1,\bar\nabla_{e_k}e_2)\\
&= \omega(\bar\nabla_{e_k}(\nabla_{e_k}e_1+A(e_k,e_1)),e_2)-\omega(\bar\nabla_{e_k}(\nabla_{e_k}e_2+A(e_k,e_2)),e_1)\\
&\quad +2\omega(A(e_k,e_1),A(e_k,e_2))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \omega(\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{e_k}e_1, e_2) + \omega(A(e_k, \nabla_{e_k}e_1), e_2) + \omega(\bar{\nabla}_{e_k}A(e_k, e_1), e_2)\\
&\quad -\omega(\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{e_k}e_2, e_1) + \omega(A(e_k, \nabla_{e_k}e_2), e_1) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}_{e_k}A(e_k, e_2), e_1)\\
&\quad +2\omega(A(e_k, e_1), A(e_k, e_2))\\
&= \cos\alpha\langle\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{e_k}e_1, e_1\rangle + \cos\alpha\langle\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{e_k}e_2, e_2\rangle\\
&\quad +\omega(\bar{\nabla}_{e_k}A(e_k, e_1), e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}_{e_k}A(e_k, e_2), e_1)\\
&\quad +2\omega(A(e_k, e_1), A(e_k, e_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\cos\alpha\Delta g_{ij}g^{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}\cos\alpha\Delta\langle e_i, e_j\rangle g^{ij}\\
&= \cos\alpha\langle\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{e_k}e_i, e_j\rangle g^{ij}\\
&= \cos\alpha\langle\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{e_k}e_1, e_1\rangle + \cos\alpha\langle\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{e_k}e_2, e_2\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting the last two identities into (3.2) and by (2.3), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\cos\alpha &= \omega(\bar{\nabla}_{e_k}A(e_k, e_1), e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}_{e_k}A(e_k, e_2), e_1)\\
&\quad +2\omega(A(e_k, e_1), A(e_k, e_2))\\
&= \omega(\bar{\nabla}_{e_k}(h^\alpha_{1k}v_\alpha), e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}_{e_k}(h^\alpha_{2k}v_\alpha), e_1) + 2\omega(h^\alpha_{1k}v_\alpha, h^\beta_{2k}v_\beta)\\
&= \omega(h^\alpha_{k1,k}v_\alpha - h^\alpha_{k1}h^\alpha_{kl}e_l, e_2) - \omega(h^\alpha_{k2,k}v_\alpha - h^\alpha_{k2}h^\alpha_{kl}e_l, e_1)\\
&\quad +2\omega(h^\alpha_{1k}v_\alpha, h^\beta_{2k}v_\beta)\\
&= \cos\alpha(-(h^\alpha_{1k})^2 - (h^\alpha_{2k})^2 + 2h^3_{1k}h^4_{2k} - 2h^4_{1k}h^3_{2k})\\
&\quad +\omega(h^\alpha_{kk,1}v_\alpha - K_{\alpha k1k}v_\alpha, e_2)\\
&\quad -\omega(h^\alpha_{kk,2}v_\alpha - K_{\alpha k2k}v_\alpha, e_1)\\
&= \cos\alpha(-(h^\alpha_{1k})^2 - (h^\alpha_{2k})^2 + 2h^3_{1k}h^4_{2k} - 2h^4_{1k}h^3_{2k})\\
&\quad +\sin\alpha(H^4, 1 + H^3, 2)\\
&\quad -\sin\alpha(K_{4k1k} + K_{3k2k})\\
&= \cos\alpha(-(h^\alpha_{1k})^2 - (h^\alpha_{2k})^2 + 2h^3_{1k}h^4_{2k} - 2h^4_{1k}h^3_{2k})\\
&\quad +\sin\alpha(H^4_{,1} + H^3_{,2})\\
&\quad -\sin\alpha(K_{1213} - K_{1224}). \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Since J is integrable, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{1212} &= K(e_1, e_2, Je_1, Je_2) = K(e_1, e_2, \cos\alpha e_2 + \sin\alpha v_3, -\cos\alpha e_1 - \sin\alpha v_4)\\
&= \cos^2\alpha K_{1212} - \sin^2\alpha K_{1234} + \sin\alpha\cos\alpha(K_{1213} - K_{1224}).
\end{aligned}$$

We therefore obtain

$$\sin\alpha(K_{1212} + K_{1234}) = \cos\alpha(K_{1213} - K_{1224}). \qquad (3.4)$$

Then adding (3.4) into (3.3), we get that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\cos\alpha &= \cos\alpha(-(h^\alpha_{1k})^2 - (h^\alpha_{2k})^2 + 2h^3_{1k}h^4_{2k} - 2h^4_{1k}h^3_{2k})\\
&\quad +\sin\alpha(H^4_{,1} + H^3_{,2}) - \frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos\alpha}(K_{1212} + K_{1234}).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition.

Q. E. D.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that M is a Kähler surface. We have*

$$Ric(Je_1, e_2) = Ric(e_1, Je_2) = \frac{1}{\cos\alpha}(K_{1212} + K_{1234}). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. From the Bianchi identity we see that,

$$\begin{aligned}
Ric(Je_1, e_2) &= \sum_{A=1}^{4} K(Je_1, e_A, e_2, e_A) \\
&= \sum_{A=1}^{4} K(Je_1, e_A, Je_2, Je_A) \\
&= -\sum_{A=1}^{4} K(Je_1, Je_2, Je_A, e_A) - \sum_{A=1}^{4} K(Je_1, Je_A, e_A, Je_2) \\
&= \sum_{A=1}^{4} K(e_1, e_2, e_A, Je_A) - \sum_{A=1}^{4} K(Je_1, Je_A, e_2, Je_A) \\
&= \sum_{A=1}^{4} K(e_1, e_2, e_A, Je_A) - Ric(Je_1, e_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\{Je_A\}$ are also orthonormal basis of M. Using (3.4) we get that,

$$\begin{aligned}
Ric(Je_1, e_2) &= \frac{1}{2}K(e_1, e_2, e_A, Je_A) \\
&= \sin\alpha(K_{1213} - K_{1224}) + \cos\alpha(K_{1212} + K_{1234}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\cos\alpha}(K_{1212} + K_{1234}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $Ric(e_1, Je_2) = -Ric(Je_2, e_1) = -\frac{1}{2}K(e_2, e_1, e_A, Je_A) = \frac{1}{2}K(e_1, e_2, e_A, Je_A)$, it follows that

$$Ric(e_1, Je_2) = Ric(Je_1, e_2).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Q. E. D.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that M is Kähler surface and Σ is a symplectic critical surface in M with Kähler angle α, then $\cos\alpha$ satisfies,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\cos\alpha &= \frac{3\sin^2\alpha - 2}{\cos\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2 - \cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha(K_{1212} + K_{1234}) \\
&= \frac{3\sin^2\alpha - 2}{\cos\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2 - \cos^2\alpha\sin^2\alpha Ric(Je_1, e_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. If Σ is a symplectic critical surface, then $H = \frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha}V$. It is easy to check that,

$$(h_{1k}^3 - h_{2k}^4)^2 + (h_{1k}^4 + h_{2k}^3)^2 = |H|^2 + 2|V|^2 + 2H\cdot V$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\frac{\sin^4\alpha}{\cos^4\alpha}+2+2\frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha})|V|^2 \\ &= \frac{1+\cos^4\alpha}{\cos^4\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H^4_{,1}+H^3_{,2} &= \partial_1(\frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha}\partial_1\alpha)+\partial_2(\frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha}\partial_2\alpha) \\ &= \frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha}\Delta\alpha+2\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos^3\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2 \\ &= \frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha}(-\Delta\cos\alpha-\cos\alpha|\nabla\alpha|^2)+2\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos^3\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Putting these two equations into (3.1), we obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\cos\alpha &= \frac{-1-\cos^4\alpha+2\sin^2\alpha-\sin^2\alpha\cos^2\alpha}{\cos^3\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2-\frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha}\Delta\cos\alpha \\ &\quad -\frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos\alpha}(K_{1212}+K_{1234}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2 implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\cos\alpha &= \frac{3\sin^2\alpha-2}{\cos\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2-\cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha(K_{1212}+K_{1234}) \\ &= \frac{3\sin^2\alpha-2}{\cos\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2-\cos^2\alpha\sin^2\alpha Ric(Je_1,e_2). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Q. E. D.

Corollary 3.4. *If M is a Kähler-Einstein surface with scalar curvature R, and Σ is a symplectic critical surface in M with Kähler angle α, we have*

$$\Delta\cos\alpha = \frac{3\sin^2\alpha-2}{\cos\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2-R\cos^3\alpha\sin^2\alpha,$$

if in addition, we assume that $R\geq 0$, then the symplectic critical surface Σ is a holomorphic curve.

Proof. Suppose that M is a Kähler-Einstein surface with scalar curvature R, we have

$$Ric(Je_1,e_2)=\cos\alpha R_{22}=\cos\alpha R,$$

The identity in the corollary follows. The second statement of the corollary follows from the maximum principle. This proves the corollary.

Q. E. D.

4. Topology of the symplectic critical surfaces

In this section we will analyze the topology properties of the symplectic critical surfaces. At a point $p \in \Sigma$ with $\alpha(p) = 0$ the tangent plane $T_p\Sigma$ of M at p is a complex line in $T_{F(p)}M$. So such a point is called a complex tangent point. We recall some equations obtained by Wolfson in [8] (also see [7]). We write the metric of M as

$$ds^2 = \sum_{\beta=-1,1} \omega_\beta \bar{\omega}_\beta,$$

the induced metric on Σ can be written as

$$ds^2_\Sigma = \phi \circ \bar{\phi},$$

where ϕ is a complex valued 1-form defined up to a complex factor of norm one, furthermore, one can assume that

$$\omega_1 = \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2})\phi, \ \omega_{-1} = \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2})\bar{\phi},$$

where α is the Kähler angle.

Suppose that the complex second fundamental form of Σ in M is

$$II^C = a\phi^2 + 2b\phi\bar{\phi} + c\bar{\phi}^2.$$

Relative to the coframe field ω_{-1}, ω_1, there is a unitary connection $\omega_{\beta\gamma}$ which satisfies

$$d\omega_\beta = \omega_{\beta\gamma} \wedge \omega_\gamma, \ \omega_{\beta\gamma} + \omega_{\gamma\beta} = 0.$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(\alpha/2)\omega_1 + \sin(\alpha/2)\bar{\omega}_{-1} &= \theta_1 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_2, \\ \sin(\alpha/2)\omega_1 - \cos(\alpha/2)\bar{\omega}_{-1} &= \theta_3 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_4, \end{aligned}$$

where θ_k, $k = 1, \cdots, 4$, is an orthonormal coframe of the Riemannian structure of M. So, along Σ we have

$$\sin(\alpha/2)\omega_1 - \cos(\alpha/2)\bar{\omega}_{-1} = 0,$$

it follows that ([7] (1.6), [8] (2.18))

$$\frac{1}{2}(d\alpha + \sin\alpha(\omega_{-1\bar{-1}} + \omega_{1\bar{1}})) = a\phi + b\bar{\phi}. \tag{4.1}$$

The relation between the real second fundamental form and the complex second fundamental form is given in [8] (Section 2),

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \sqrt{-1} & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{-1} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} h^3_{11} & h^3_{12} \\ h^3_{12} & h^3_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h^4_{11} & h^4_{12} \\ h^4_{12} & h^4_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have

$$b = \frac{1}{4}(H^3 + \sqrt{-1}H^4). \tag{4.2}$$

Using the equation (4.1), (4.2) and (2.2), we see that, on the symplectic critical surface we have,

$$\frac{\partial \sin\alpha}{\partial\bar{\zeta}} = (\sin\alpha)h,$$

where h is a smooth complex function, ζ is a local complex coordinate on Σ. By Bers' [2] result, we have

Proposition 4.1. *A non holomorphic symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface has at most finite complex points.*

Set

$$g(\alpha) = \ln(\sin^2\alpha).$$

Then using the equation (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta g(\alpha) &= -2|\nabla\alpha|^2 - 2\frac{\cos\alpha}{\sin^2\alpha}\Delta\cos\alpha - 4\frac{\cos^2\alpha}{\sin^2\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2 \\ &= -4|\nabla\alpha|^2 + 2\cos^2\alpha(K_{1212}+K_{1234}). \qquad (4.3) \end{aligned}$$

This equation is valid away from the complex tangent points of M. By the Gauss equation and Ricci equation, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1212} &= K_{1212} + h^\alpha_{11}h^\alpha_{22} - (h^\alpha_{12})^2 \\ R_{1234} &= K_{1234} + h^3_{1k}h^4_{2k} - h^4_{1k}h^3_{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

where R_{1212} is the curvature of $T\Sigma$ and R_{1234} is the curvature of $N\Sigma$. Adding these two equations together, we get that,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{1212}+K_{1234} &= R_{1212}+R_{1234} - \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}((h^3_{1k}-h^4_{2k})^2 + (h^4_{1k}+h^3_{2k})^2) \\ &= R_{1212}+R_{1234}+|V|^2 + H\cdot V \\ &= R_{1212}+R_{1234} + \frac{1}{\cos^2\alpha}|\nabla\alpha|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$R_{1212}+R_{1234} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta g(\alpha)}{\cos^2\alpha} + \frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^2\alpha}.$$

Integrating the above equality over Σ we have,

$$2\pi(\chi(T\Sigma)+\chi(N\Sigma)) = -2\pi P - \int_\Sigma \frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^2\alpha}d\mu_\Sigma,$$

where $\chi(T\Sigma)$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ and $\chi(N\Sigma)$ is the Euler characteristic of the normal bundle of Σ in M, P is the sum of the orders of complex tangent points. We therefore proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let Σ be a non holomorphic symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface M. Let P denote the sum of the orders of complex tangent points. Then*

$$\chi(T\Sigma)+\chi(N\Sigma)=-P-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma\frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^2\alpha}d\mu_\Sigma.$$

Remark 4.3. *Let Σ be a symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface M. Then*

$$\chi(T\Sigma)+\chi(N\Sigma)\leq 0.$$

Similarly, we can show,

Theorem 4.4. *Let Σ be a non holomorphic symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface M, then*

$$F^*c_1(M)[\Sigma]=-P-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma\frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^3\alpha}d\mu_\Sigma.$$

where $c_1(M)$ is the first Chern class of M and [Σ] is the fundamental homology class of Σ.

Proof. By (4.3) we also get that,

$$\Delta g(\alpha) \;=\; -4|\nabla\alpha|^2+2\cos^3\alpha Ric(Je_1,e_2).$$

Note that $Ric(Je_1,e_2)d\mu_\Sigma$ is the pulled back Ricci 2-form of M by the immersion F to Σ, i.e,

$$F^*(Ric^M)=Ric(Je_1,e_2)d\mu_\Sigma.$$

Thus,

$$F^*(Ric^M)=(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta g(\alpha)}{\cos^3\alpha}+2\frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^3\alpha})d\mu_\Sigma.$$

Integrating it over Σ, we obtain that,

$$2\pi F^*c_1(M)[\Sigma]=-2\pi P-\int_\Sigma\frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^3\alpha}d\mu_\Sigma.$$

This proves the theorem. Q. E. D.

Remark 4.5. *If Σ is a symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface M., then*

$$F^*c_1(M)[N]\leq 0.$$

Corollary 4.6. *Suppose that Σ is a symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface M. Then*

$$\chi(T\Sigma)+\chi(N\Sigma)\geq F^*c_1(M)[N].$$

and the equality holds if and only if Σ is a holomorphic curve.

Proof. It is easy to see that $F^*c_1(M)[N]\leq\chi(T\Sigma)+\chi(N\Sigma)$ and the equality holds on the holomorphic curves. We need only prove the necessary part. Suppose that $F^*c_1(M)[N]=\chi(T\Sigma)+\chi(N\Sigma)$, then

$$\int_\Sigma\frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^2\alpha}d\mu_\Sigma=\int_\Sigma\frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^3\alpha}d\mu_\Sigma.$$

That means,

$$\int_\Sigma \frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^2\alpha}(\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}-1)d\mu_\Sigma=0,$$

which implies that $\cos\alpha=1$, i.e, Σ is a holomorphic curve.

Q. E. D.

Recall that the degree of a map $F:\Sigma\to M$ is defined by

$$\deg\Sigma=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_\Sigma F^*\omega.$$

Corollary 4.7. *Suppose that Σ is a non holomorphic symplectic critical surface in a Kähler-Einstein surface M with scalar curvature $-R>0$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}R\deg\Sigma=P+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma\frac{|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^3\alpha}d\mu.$$

Proof. It simply follows from (4.3). The detail is left to readers.

Q. E. D.

Let g be the genus of Σ, I_Σ the self-intersection number of Σ, D_Σ the number of double points of Σ. Then

$$\chi(\Sigma)=2-2g,$$
$$\chi(\nu)=I_\Sigma-2D_\Sigma.$$

Set

$$C_1(\Sigma)=C_1(M)([\Sigma]),$$

we have

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose that Σ is a symplectic critical surface in a Kähler surface M. Then*

$$2-2g-c_1(\Sigma)+I_\Sigma-2D_\Sigma=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma\frac{(1-\cos\alpha)|\nabla\alpha|^2}{\cos^3\alpha}d\mu.$$

Proof. It simply follows from Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.4. The detail is left to readers.

Q. E. D.

5. The gradient flow

In this section we consider the gradient flow of the function L, i. e,

$$\frac{dF}{dt}=\cos^2\alpha H-\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}(J(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top)^\perp. \tag{5.1}$$

We set

$$\vec{f}=\cos^2\alpha H-\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}(J(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top)^\perp.$$

It is clear that, if $\vec{f}=0$, Σ is a symplectic critical surface.

By the first variational formula of the functional L (Theorem 2.2), we see that, along the flow,

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = -2\int_\Sigma\frac{1}{\cos^3\alpha}|\cos^2\alpha H-\frac{1}{\cos\alpha}(J(J\nabla\cos\alpha)^\top)^\perp|^2d\mu$$

$$= \quad -2\int_\Sigma \frac{1}{\cos^3\alpha}|\vec{f}|^2 d\mu. \tag{5.2}$$

By Theorem 2.3, we know that the equation (5.1) is a parabolic equation, and the short time existence can be shown by a standard argument. We set $\Sigma_t = F(\Sigma, t)$ with $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$.

Using the same local frame as that in Section 2, the equation (5.1) can be written as

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \cos^2\alpha\vec{H} - \sin^2\alpha\vec{V} \equiv \vec{f}. \tag{5.3}$$

We compute the evolution of the area element of Σ_t along the flow.

Lemma 5.1.

$$\frac{d}{dt}d\mu_t = (-\cos^2\alpha|H|^2 + \sin^2\alpha\vec{V}\cdot\vec{H})d\mu_t. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. It is easy to check that,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^j}\rangle = 2\langle\frac{\partial \vec{f}}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^j}\rangle \\ &= -2\langle\vec{f}, \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\rangle \\ &= 2(-\cos^2\alpha H^\alpha + \sin^2\alpha V^\alpha)h^\alpha_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that $\nabla_{e_i}e_j = 0$ at the fixed point. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}d\mu_t &= -\vec{f}\cdot\vec{H}d\mu_t \\ &= (-\cos^2\alpha|H|^2 + \sin^2\alpha\vec{V}\cdot\vec{H})d\mu_t.\end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

Now we derive the evolution equation of $\cos\alpha$ along the flow (5.3), which can be seen as a start point of the study of the flow.

Theorem 5.2. *Let M be a Kähler surface. Assume that α is the Kähler angle of Σ_t which evolves by the flow (5.3). Then $\cos\alpha$ satisfies the equation*

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta)\cos\alpha &= \cos^3\alpha(|h^3_{1k} - h^4_{2k}|^2 + |h^3_{2k} + h^4_{1k}|^2) + \cos^2\alpha\sin^2\alpha Ric(Je_1, e_2) \\ &\quad + \cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha|H|^2 - \cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha|V+H|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, v_3, v_4\}$ is an orthonormal basis of T_pM such that ω, J take the form (2.3), (2.4).

Proof. Using the fact that $\bar\nabla\omega = 0$ and by Lemma 5.1, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\cos\alpha &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\omega(e_1, e_2)}{\sqrt{\det(g_t)}} = \omega(\bar\nabla_{e_1}\vec{f}, e_2) - \omega(\bar\nabla_{e_2}\vec{f}, e_1) - \frac{1}{2}\cos\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij}g^{ij} \\ &= \omega(\bar\nabla_{e_1}\vec{f}, e_2) - \omega(\bar\nabla_{e_2}\vec{f}, e_1) + \cos\alpha\vec{f}\cdot H.\end{aligned}$$

By breaking $\bar{\nabla}_{e_1}\vec{f}$ and $\bar{\nabla}_{e_2}\vec{f}$ into normal and tangent parts, we get that,

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(\bar{\nabla}_{e_1}\vec{f}, e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}_{e_2}\vec{f}, e_1) &= \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_1}\vec{f}, e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_2}\vec{f}, e_1) \\ &\quad + \omega(\bar{\nabla}^T_{e_1}\vec{f}, e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_2}\vec{f}, e_1) \\ &= \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_1}\vec{f}, e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_2}\vec{f}, e_1) \\ &\quad + \omega(B(e_1, \vec{f}), e_2) - \omega(B(e_2, \vec{f}), e_1) \\ &= \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_1}\vec{f}, e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_2}\vec{f}, e_1) \\ &\quad + \cos\alpha(\langle B(e_1, \vec{f}), e_1\rangle + \langle B(e_2, \vec{f}), e_2\rangle) \\ &= \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_1}\vec{f}, e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_2}\vec{f}, e_1) - \cos\alpha\vec{f}\cdot\vec{H}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining these two identities we obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\cos\alpha &= \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_1}\vec{f}, e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_2}\vec{f}, e_1) \\ &= \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_1}(\cos^2\alpha H - \sin^2\alpha V), e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_2}(\cos^2\alpha H - \sin^2\alpha V), e_1) \\ &= \cos^2\alpha(\omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_1}H, e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_2}H, e_1)) \\ &\quad + \omega(\partial_1\cos^2\alpha H, e_2) - \omega(\partial_2\cos^2\alpha H, e_1) \\ &\quad - \omega(\partial_1\sin^2\alpha V, e_2) + \omega(\partial_2\sin^2\alpha V, e_1) \\ &\quad - \sin^2\alpha(\omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_1}V, e_2) - \omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_2}V, e_1)) \\ &:= I + II + III + IV. \qquad (5.6)\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}I &= \cos^2\alpha\sin\alpha(H^4_{,1} - H^3_{,2}) \\ &= \cos^2\alpha(\Delta\cos\alpha + \cos\alpha|h^3_{1k} - h^4_{2k}|^2 + \cos\alpha|h^3_{2k} + h^4_{1k}|^2 + \sin^2\alpha Ric(Je_1, e_2)).\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}II &= H^4\sin\alpha\partial_1\cos^2\alpha + H^3\sin\alpha\partial_2\cos^2\alpha \\ &= -2\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha(H^4\partial_1\alpha + H^3\partial_2\alpha) \\ &= -2\cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha\vec{H}\cdot\vec{V}.\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of V, we can see that,

$$\begin{aligned}III &= -\sin\alpha\partial_1\sin^2\alpha\partial_1\alpha - \sin\alpha\partial_2\sin^2\alpha\partial_2\alpha \\ &= -2\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha|\nabla\alpha|^2 \\ &= -2\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha|V|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}IV &= -\sin^2\alpha\omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_1}(\partial_2\alpha v_3 + \partial_1\alpha v_4), e_2) + \sin^2\alpha\omega(\bar{\nabla}^N_{e_2}(\partial_2\alpha v_3 + \partial_1\alpha v_4), e_1) \\ &= -\sin^2\alpha(\omega(\partial_1\partial_1\alpha v_4, e_2) - \omega(\partial_2\partial_2\alpha v_3, e_1)) \\ &= -\sin^3\alpha\Delta\alpha \\ &= \sin^2\alpha\Delta\cos\alpha + \sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha|\nabla\alpha|^2\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sin^2\alpha\Delta\cos\alpha+\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha|V|^2.$$

Putting these equations into (5.6), we can obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\cos\alpha &= \Delta\cos\alpha+\cos^3\alpha|h^3_{1k}-h^4_{2k}|^2+\cos^3\alpha|h^3_{2k}+h^4_{1k}|^2\\ &\quad +\cos^2\alpha\sin^2\alpha Ric(Je_1,e_2)-2\cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha\vec{H}\cdot\vec{V}-\cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha|V|^2.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.

Q. E. D.

Theorem 5.3. *Let M be a Kähler-Einstein surface with scalar curvature R. Assume that α is the Kähler angle of Σ_t which evolves by the flow (5.3). Then $\cos\alpha$ satisfies the equation*

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta)\cos\alpha &= \cos^3\alpha(|h^3_{1k}-h^4_{2k}|^2+|h^3_{2k}+h^4_{1k}|^2)+R\cos^3\alpha\sin^2\alpha\\ &\quad +\cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha|H|^2-\cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha|V+H|^2, \qquad (5.7)\end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_1,e_2,v_3,v_4\}$ is an orthonormal basis of T_pM such that ω, J take the form (2.3), (2.4). And consequently, if Σ is symplectic, along the flow (5.3), at each time t, Σ_t is symplectic.

References

[1] *C. Arezzo, Minimal surfaces and deformations of holomorphic curves in Kähler-Einstein manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **29** (2000), 473-481.

[2] *L. Bers, An outline of the theorey of pseudo-analytic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1956), 291-331.

[3] *J. Chen and G. Tian, Minimal surfaces in Riemannian* 4*-manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **7** (1997), 873-916.

[4] *S. S. Chen and J. Wolfson, Minimal surfaces by moving frames*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 59-83.

[5] *L. Simon, Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory*, Proc. Center Math. Anal. 3 (1983), Australian National Univ. Press.

[6] *G. Tian, Symplectic isotopy in four dimension*, First International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians (Beijing, 1998), 143-147, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

[7] *J. Wolfson, Minimal surfaces in Kähler surfaces and Ricci curvature*, J. Diff. Geom., **29** (1989), 281-294.

[8] *J. Wolfson, On minimal surfaces in a Khler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **290** (1985), no. 2, 627–646.

Xiaoli Han, Math. Group, The abdus salam ICTP, Trieste 34100, Italy.
E-mail address: `xhan@ictp.it`

Jiayu Li, Math. Group, The abdus salam ICTP, Trieste 34100, Italy, and Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, P. R. of China.
E-mail address: `jyli@ictp.it`